1 2	Gary M. Hoffman (Pro Hac Vice) Kenneth W. Brothers (Pro Hac Vice) DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN		
3	& OSHINSKY, LLP 2101 L Street, NW		
4	Washington, DC 20037-1526 Phone (202) 785-9700 Fax (202) 887-0689		
5			
6	Edward A. Meilman (Pro Hac Vice) DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN		
7	& OSHINSKY, LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas		
8	New York, New York 10036-2714 Phone (212) 835-1400		
9	Fax (212) 997-9880		
10	Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715		
11	Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008 ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, RUB	IN & DEMAIN	
12	177 Post Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94108		
13	Phone (415) 421-7151 Fax (415) 362-8064		
14	Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15			
16			
17			
18	RICOH COMPANY LTD.,	Case No.: C-03-4669-MJJ (EMC)	
19	Plaintiff,	Case No.: C-03-4009-MIJJ (EMIC)	
20	vs.	RICOH'S REPLY TO AMENDED	
21	AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, ET AL.	ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT AMI SEMICONDUCTOR,	
22	Defendants	INC. TO AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT	
23			
24	Plaintiff Ricoh Company, Ltd. ("Ricoh") for its Reply to the Answer and Counterclaims of		
25	Defendant AMI Semiconductor, Inc. To Amended Complaint For Patent Infringement (hereinafter		
26	"Answer and Counterclaim of AMI"), alleges as follows:		
27			
28	Case No.: C-03-4669-MJJ (EMC) Page 1	MS OF DEFENDANT AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.	

Case No.: C-03-4669-MJJ (EMC) Page 1
RICOH'S REPLY TO AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.
TO AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 104570 v1; 28_Q01!.DOC DSMDB.2079868.1

5

9

10

11

1213

14 15

16

18

19

17

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

- 1. Paragraphs 1-67 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI do not require a response, nevertheless and to the extent necessary, Plaintiff Ricoh asserts all defenses and affirmation defenses available to it, including but not limited to failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 2. Plaintiff Ricoh admits the allegations of paragraph 68 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI.
- 3. Plaintiff Ricoh admits the allegations of paragraph 69 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI.
- 4. With respect to paragraph 70 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI, Ricoh admits there is a justicable controversy insofar as the counterclaims relate to claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent but denies there is a justicable controversy to the extent any of the counterclaims relate to any of the other claims of the '432 Patent and further states that the Court lacks jurisdiction with respect to such counterclaims.
- 5. Plaintiff Ricoh admits this Court has personal jurisdiction over Ricoh and otherwise denies the allegations of paragraph 71 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI.
- 6. Plaintiff Ricoh admits venue is proper in this district and otherwise denies the allegations of paragraph 72 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI.
- 7. Plaintiff Ricoh admits the allegations of paragraph 73 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI insofar as they relate to claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent but denies there is a justicable controversy as to any of the other claims of the '432 Patent and the Court therefore lacks jurisdiction with respect to such other claims.
- 8. In response to paragraph 74 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI, Plaintiff Ricoh incorporates its responses to paragraph 68-73 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI as if fully set forth herein, denies the allegations in paragraphs 58-67 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI; and notes there are no allegations requiring response in paragraph 1-57 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI but nevertheless and to the extent necessary, admits or denies or denies information and belief sufficient to form a belief, as appropriate, as to any statements therein.

- Plaintiff Ricoh admits the allegations of paragraph 75 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI, but alleges that it is the owner of the '432 Patent.
- Plaintiff Ricoh admits the allegations of paragraph 76 of the Answer and Counterclaim
- Plaintiff Ricoh denies the allegations of paragraph 77 of the Answer and Counterclaim
- Paragraph 78 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI is not a proper allegation but rather is a prayer for relief; nevertheless and to the extent necessary, plaintiff Ricoh denies the allegations of paragraph 78 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI.
- In response to paragraph 79 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI, Plaintiff Ricoh incorporates its responses to paragraph 69-78 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI as if fully set forth herein, denies the allegations in paragraphs 58-68 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI; and notes there are no allegations requiring response in paragraph 1-57 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI but nevertheless and to the extent necessary, admits or denies or denies information and belief sufficient to form a belief, as appropriate, as to any statements therein.
- 14. Plaintiff Ricoh denies the allegations of paragraph 80 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI.
- 15. Paragraph 81 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI is not a proper allegation but rather is a prayer for relief; nevertheless and to the extent necessary, plaintiff Ricoh denies the allegations of paragraph 81 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI.
- 16. Paragraph 82 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI is not a proper allegation and is not permitted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and therefore does not require a response; nevertheless and to the extent necessary, plaintiff Ricoh denies the allegations of paragraph 82 of the Answer and Counterclaim of AMI.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Ricoh prays for entry of judgment:

that AMI has infringed the '432 Patent;

28

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	that AMI, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and those acting, or
2	purporting to act, in privity or in concert with AMI, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from
3	further infringement of the '432 Patent;
4	that AMI account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including
5	enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys' fees pursuant to 35
6	U.S.C. § 285;
7	that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to it by
8	reason of AMI's infringement of the '432 Patent;
9	that AMI's Counterclaim be dismissed with prejudice;
10	that costs be awarded to Ricoh; and
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case No.: C-03-4669-MJJ (EMC) Page 4 RICOH'S REPLY TO AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC. TO AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 104570 v1; 28_Q01!.DOC DSMDB.2079868.1

that Ricoh be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper 1 2 under the current circumstances. 3 Dated: May 2, 2006 Respectfully submitted, 4 Ricoh Company, Ltd. 5 6 By: /s/ Kenneth Brothers 7 Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715 Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008 8 ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, 9 **RUBIN & DEMAIN** 177 Post Street, Suite 300 10 San Francisco, California 94108 Phone: (415) 421-7151 11 Fax: (415) 362-8064 12 Gary M. Hoffman 13 Kenneth W. Brothers DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & 14 OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street NW 15 Washington, D.C. 20037-1526 Telephone: (202) 785-9700 16 Facsimile: (202) 887-0689 17 Edward A. Meilman 18 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 19 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 20 Telephone: (212) 896-5471 21 Facsimile: (212) 997-9880 22 Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd. 23 24 25 26 27

Case No.: C-03-4669-MJJ (EMC) Page 5
RICOH'S REPLY TO AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.
TO AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 104570 v1; 28_Q01!.DOC
DSMDB.2079868.1

28